

On quasi-transitive amenable graphs

GÁBOR ELEK and GÁBOR TARDOS

Mathematical Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences

P.O. Box 127, H-1364 Budapest, Hungary

AMS Subject Classification: 58G05

Keywords: amenable graphs, harmonic functions

Abstract. We introduce the notion of quasi-transitivity and prove that there exist no non-constant harmonic Dirichlet functions on amenable quasi-transitive graphs.

1 Introduction

In this note we use the term *graph* for simple, connected, undirected graphs with bounded degree vertices only. We will mostly consider infinite graphs. We denote the set of vertices of a graph G by $V(G)$, we use $E(G)$ for the set of edges. By $\vec{E}(G)$ we denote the set of oriented edges: $\vec{E}(G) = \{(x, y) \mid \{x, y\} \in E(G)\}$. We denote the opposite orientation (y, x) of an oriented edge $e = (x, y)$ by $\bar{e}$. We consider the Hilbert space $l_2(G)$ of the l_2 functions $u : \vec{E}(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $u(\bar{e}) = -u(e)$ with the scalar product $\langle u, u' \rangle = 1/2 \sum_{e \in \vec{E}(G)} u(e)u'(e)$. For simplicity we write $u(x, y)$ for the value $u((x, y))$. For a function $v : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we define its *differential* $dv : \vec{E}(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $dv(x, y) = v(y) - v(x)$. We call a $v : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ function a Dirichlet function if $dv \in l_2(G)$ and denote the set of Dirichlet functions by $D(G)$. Let $l_2(V(G))$ denote the Hilbert space of the l_2 functions $v : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (with the standard scalar product), this is clearly contained in $D(G)$.

Consider the adjoint d^* of the operator $d : l_2(V(G)) \rightarrow l_2(G)$. We call a function $u \in l_2(G)$ a *flow* if $d^*u = 0$. We call a Dirichlet function $v \in D(G)$ *harmonic* if dv is a flow. We denote the set of harmonic Dirichlet functions by $HD(G)$.

Here d^*u is given by $d^*u(x) = \sum_{\{y, x\} \in E(G)} u(y, x)$ for $u \in l_2(G)$ and $x \in V(G)$. Thus a u is a flow if and only if $\sum_{\{x, y\} \in E(G)} u(x, y) = 0$ for every $x \in V$. The function $v \in D(G)$ is harmonic if and only if for every $x \in V$ the value $v(x)$ is the average of the values $v(y)$ with $\{x, y\} \in E(G)$. All constant functions $V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are harmonic Dirichlet functions.

For vertices x and y of a graph G let $\delta(x, y)$ denote their distance in G . A *wobbling* is a map $f : V(G) \rightarrow V(G')$ such that $\delta(x, f(x))$ for $x \in V(G)$ is bounded. The map $f : V(G) \rightarrow V(G')$ is called a *quasi-isomorphism* from G to G' if there exists a positive number k —the *distortion* of f —such that for vertices x and y in $V(G)$ one has

$$\frac{1}{k}\delta(x, y) - 1 < \delta(f(x), f(y)) \leq k\delta(x, y),$$

and for every vertex $x \in V(G')$ there exists $y \in V(G)$ with $\delta(x, f(y)) < k$. A *quasi-inverse* of a quasi-isomorphism f from G to G' is a quasi-isomorphism g from G' to G such that $f \circ g$ and $g \circ f$ are wobbles.

Note that for a quasi-isomorphism f of distortion k one can take a quasi-inverse of distortion $2k^2$.

We call a graph G *quasi-transitive* if there exist quasi-isomorphisms f_{xy} from G to G for vertices x and y of G with $f_{xy}(x) = y$ such that these quasi-isomorphisms have bounded distortion.

Any graph quasi-isometric to a vertex-transitive graph is clearly quasi-transitive. However, the converse is far from being true. For example, any *net* in a non-compact Lie-group or a homogeneous Riemannian manifold is quasi-transitive. Here the vertices of the net is a maximal subset of the metric space with minimum distance 1, the edges connect vertices of distance at most 3.

When speaking of subgraphs of a graph we always mean a connected full subgraph with at least one edge. Let G_0 be a subgraph of a graph G . By $\sigma(G_0)$ we denote the set of vertices of G_0 that have neighbors in G outside G_0 . We call G *amenable* if $\inf |\sigma(G_0)|/|V(G_0)| = 0$, where the infimum is taken for finite subgraphs G_0 . We call the sequence (G_i) of finite subgraphs of a graph G a *Følner sequence* if $|\sigma(G_i)|/|V(G_i)|$ tends to 0 as k tends to infinity.

The study of harmonic Dirichlet functions on graphs goes back to Cheeger and Gromov [2]. They proved that there exist no non-constant harmonic Dirichlet function on the Cayley graph of an amenable group. For different proofs see also Elek [3] and Paschke [5]. Later Medolla and Soardi [4] extended this result to amenable vertex-transitive graphs. In a recent preprint Benjamini, Lyons, Peres, and Schramm [1] gave a probabilistic proof for this result. The goal of this paper is to extend the result of Medolla and Soardi to amenable quasi-transitive graphs. Note that some assumption of this kind is necessary as there exist amenable graphs with non-constant harmonic Dirichlet functions, see e.g. [6], Chapter 6.

2 The result

We borrow some notations from [1]. The *support* $\text{supp}(v)$ of a real-valued function v is the subset of the domain where v is not zero. For a graph G we define $\star(G)$ to be the closure in $l_2(G)$ of the functions dv , where $v : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has finite support. Let $\diamond(G)$ be the closure in $l_2(G)$ of the flows with finite support.

Notice that we always have $dl_2(V(G)) \subseteq \star(G)$. The following lemma is well known. We prove it to be self contained.

Lemma 1 *Let G be any graph. We have the following orthogonal decomposition:*

$$l_2(G) = \star(G) + \diamond(G) + dHD(G).$$

The flows constitute the subspace $\diamond(G) + dHD(G)$, and $dD(G) = \star(G) + dHD(G)$.

Proof: First note that if $v \in D(G)$ and u is a flow with finite support then we have $\langle dv, u \rangle = \langle dv_0, u \rangle = \langle v_0, d^*u \rangle = 0$, where v_0 is v restricted to the finite support consisting

of the endpoints of the oriented edges in the support of u . Thus $dD(G)$ is orthogonal to $\diamond(G)$. To see that they are orthogonal complements consider any function u orthogonal to $\diamond(G)$. Fix a vertex $x_0 \in V(G)$ and define $v : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $v(x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n u(x_{i-1}, x_i)$ for any path $(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ ($n \geq 0$) in G . The orthogonality proves that v is well defined. We have $dv = u$ thus $d \in D(G)$ as required.

Next we claim that the orthogonal complement of $\star(G)$ consists of all the flows. Indeed a function $u \in l_2(G)$ belongs to this complement if and only if $\langle dv, u \rangle = \langle v, d^*u \rangle = 0$ for every $v : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with a finite support. This is satisfied if and only if $d^*u = 0$.

To finish the proof of the lemma notice that the orthogonal complement of $\star(G)$ contains $\diamond(G)$ and the intersection of the orthogonal complements of $\star(G)$ and $\diamond(G)$ are flows in $dD(G)$, and by definition, this is $dHD(G)$. ■

Let G_0 be a finite subgraph of G and L a closed subspace of $l_2(G)$. We define

$$\dim_{G_0}(L) = \frac{\sum_{e \in \vec{E}(G_0)} \langle P_L e, e \rangle}{|\vec{E}(G_0)|},$$

where P_L is the orthogonal projection to L and the oriented edge e is identified with the element of $l_2(G)$ mapping e to 1, $\bar{e}$ to -1 and all other oriented edges to 0.

Claim 2 *Let G_0 be a finite subgraph of a graph G . We have $\dim_{G_0}(l_2(G)) = 1$. For orthogonal closed subspaces L and L' of $l_2(G)$ we have $\dim_{G_0}(L + L') = \dim_{G_0}(L) + \dim_{G_0}(L')$. For closed subspaces $L \subseteq L'$ one has $0 \leq \dim_{G_0}(L) \leq \dim_{G_0}(L')$. If the support of all $u \in L$ is contained in $\vec{E}(G_0)$ then $\dim_{G_0}(L) = \dim(L)/|E(G_0)|$.*

Proof: The first statement follows from noting that $P_{l_2(G)}$ is the identity. The second statement follows from the equality $P_{L+L'} = P_L + P_{L'}$ for orthogonal subspaces L and L' . The non negativity is trivial and implies the monotonicity. For the last statement note that we can work in $l_2(G_0)$. Half of the vectors $e \in \vec{E}(G_0)$ (taking one of the pairs e and $\bar{e}$) form an orthonormal bases of $l_2(G_0)$, and $|E(G_0)|\dim_{G_0}(L)$ is the trace of P_L restricted to $l_2(G_0)$ computed in this bases. ■

Lemma 3 *If G_0 is a finite subgraph of the graph G then $\dim_{G_0}(\star(G) + \diamond(G)) \geq 1 - |\sigma(G_0)|/|E(G_0)|$.*

Proof: First note that $dHD(G_0) = 0$ since every flow on the finite graph G_0 has finite support, so by Lemma 1 $\diamond(G_0) = \diamond(G) + dHD(G_0)$. So by Lemma 1 we have $l_2(G_0) = \star(G_0) + \diamond(G_0)$.

We identify functions $u \in l_2(G_0)$ and $v \in D(G_0)$ with their extension in $l_2(G)$ (respectively $D(G)$) that is zero outside the original domain. We have to distinguish two differential operators: $d_{G_0} : D(G_0) \rightarrow l_2(G_0)$ is not the restriction of $d : D(G) \rightarrow l_2(G)$ as $d(D(G_0)) \not\subseteq l_2(G_0)$ unless $G = G_0$. But using the formula for d^* one sees that $d_{G_0}^*$ is the restriction of d^* . Thus $\diamond(G_0) \subseteq \diamond(G)$. Let $D_1 = \{v \in D(G) \mid \text{supp}(v) \subseteq \sigma(G_0)\}$ and $D_2 = \{v \in D(G) \mid \text{supp}(v) \subseteq V(G_0) \setminus \sigma(G_0)\}$. Clearly, $D(G_0) = D_1 + D_2$ and $\star(G_0) = d_{G_0}D_1 + d_{G_0}D_2$. Notice that d and d_{G_0} are identical in D_2 , thus $d_{G_0}D_2 = dD_2 \subseteq \star(G)$.

Let $L = dD_2 + \diamond(G_0)$. We have $d_{G_0}D_1 + L = \star(G_0) + \diamond(G_0) = l_2(G_0)$, thus $\dim(L) \geq \dim(l_2(G_0)) - \dim(d_{G_0}D_1) \geq |E(G_0)| - \dim(D_1) \geq |E(G_0)| - |\sigma(G_0)|$.

We have $L \subseteq (\star(G) + \diamond(G)) \cap l_2(G_0)$, thus by Claim 2 $\dim_{G_0}(\star(G) + \diamond(G)) \geq \dim_{G_0}(L) = \dim(L)/|E(G_0)| \geq 1 - |\sigma(G_0)|/|E(G_0)|$ as claimed. ■

Corollary 4 *For a Følner sequence (G_i) of finite subgraphs of a graph G we have $\dim_{G_i}(dHD(G))$ tends to zero as i tends to infinity.*

Proof: By Lemma 1, Claim 2, and Lemma 3 we have $0 \leq \dim_{G_i}(dHD(G)) \leq |\sigma(G_i)|/|E(G_i)|$. Here $|\sigma(G_i)|/|E(G_i)|$ tends to zero as (G_i) is a Følner sequence. Note that for traditional reasons we used $|\sigma(G_i)|/|V(G_i)|$ in the definition of Følner sequences, but since G_i is connected and has bounded degree $|V(G_i)|$ and $|E(G_i)|$ are proportional. ■

Corollary 4 indicates that the harmonic Dirichlet functions on an amenable graph form a “small” subspace. It does not, however, imply that $dHD(G) = 0$, this is false for some amenable graphs. Our goal is to prove that if non-constant harmonic functions exist on a quasi-transitive graph then they form a “large” subspace contradicting Corollary 4. This is immediate for transitive graphs: with any harmonic Dirichlet function all its translates are harmonic. The case of quasi-transitive graphs require more care. We study next how quasi-isomorphisms act on Dirichlet functions and on $dHD(G)$.

Let G_1 and G_2 be graphs. For a map $f : V(G_1) \rightarrow V(G_2)$ we define the function $f^* : v \mapsto f \circ v$ on the functions $v : V(G_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let A be a subset of the vertices of a graph G . For $k > 0$ we define the k -neighborhood $C_k(A)$ of A to be $\{x \in V(G) \mid \exists y \in A : \delta(x, y) \leq k\}$. We define $\chi_A : \vec{E}(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to be the characteristic function of the oriented edges $(x, y) \in \vec{E}(G)$ with $x \in A$ and $y \in A$.

Lemma 5 *For a quasi-isomorphism f from a graph G_1 to a graph G_2 we have $f^*(D(G_2)) \subseteq D(G_1)$. Furthermore there is a constant c depending on the distortion k of f and the maximum degree of G_1 such that $|df^*v| \leq c|dv|$ and $|df^*v \cdot \chi_A| \leq c|dv \cdot \chi_B|$ for any $v \in D(G_2)$ and $A \subseteq V(G_1)$ if $B = C_k(f(A))$.*

Proof: Let f be as in the lemma. We fix a path $f(x) = x_0^e, x_1^e, \dots, x_{k_e}^e = f(y)$ in G_2 of length $0 \leq k_e \leq k$ for each oriented edge $e = (x, y) \in \vec{E}(G_1)$. For any $v \in D(G_2)$ we have $|df^*v|^2 = 1/2 \sum_{(x,y) \in \vec{E}(G_1)} (v(f(y)) - v(f(x)))^2 \leq k/2 \sum_{e \in \vec{E}(G_1)} \sum_{i=1}^{k_e} (v(x_i^e) - v(x_{i-1}^e))^2$. The summands in this last expression all appear in the summation $|dv|^2 = 1/2 \sum_{(x,y) \in \vec{E}(G_2)} (v(y) - v(x))^2$ thus for the first statement we only have to limit the multiplicity of a summand $(v(y) - v(x))^2$ in the first sum for any $(x, y) \in \vec{E}(G_2)$. This is the number of oriented edges in $\vec{E}(G_1)$ such that the corresponding (oriented) path in G_2 contains (x, y) . As the endpoints of these edges form a subset in $V(G_1)$ of maximum distance at most $2k^2$ this multiplicity can be bounded in terms of k and the maximum degree of G_1 .

For the last statement notice that if an edge is spanned by a subset $A \subseteq E(G_2)$ then the corresponding path is within the set $B = C_k(f(A))$. ■

Lemma 6 *For a wobbling f of a graph G we have $f^*v - v \in l_2(V(G))$ for every $v \in D(G)$.*

Proof: For a vertex $x \in V(G)$ take a path $x = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k = f(x)$ in G . We have $((f^*v - v)(x))^2 \leq k \sum_{i=1}^k (v(x_i) - v(x_{i-1}))^2$. Thus to bound the l_2 norm of $f^*v - v$ in terms of $|dv|$ it is enough to note that we can choose the paths with bounded length and every edge appears in a bounded number of paths. $\blacksquare$

For a function $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $S \subseteq B$ we use $f^{-1}(S)$ to denote $\{x \in A \mid f(x) \in S\}$.

Lemma 7 *For a graph G we have $dHD(G) \cong D(G)/d^{-1}(\star(G))$. For a quasi-isomorphism f from G_1 to G_2 f^* induces an isomorphism between $D(G_2)/d^{-1}(\star(G_2))$ and $D(G_1)/d^{-1}(\star(G_1))$ thus between $dHD(G_2)$ and $dHD(G_1)$. For quasi-inverses f and g the functions f^* and g^* induce inverse isomorphisms.*

Proof: The $dD(G) = \star(G) + dHD(G)$ claim of Lemma 1 proves the first statement.

Let f be as in the lemma and $v \in d^{-1}(\star(G_2))$. Then there exist functions $v_i \in D(G_2)$ with finite support such that dv_i tend to dv in norm. By Lemma 5 df^*v_i tend to df^*v , and as the functions f^*v_i also have finite support $df^*v \in \star(G_1)$. Thus f^* maps $D(G_2)/d^{-1}(\star(G_2))$ linearly to $D(G_1)/d^{-1}(\star(G_1))$.

To see that this map is an isomorphism take a quasi-inverse g of the quasi-isomorphism f . For any $v \in D(G_2)$ we have by Lemma 6 that $g^*f^*v - v \in l_2(V(G_2)) \subseteq d^{-1}(\star(G_2))$. For $v \in D(G_1)$ we similarly have $f^*g^*v - v \in d^{-1}(\star(G_1))$ thus the maps between $D(G_2)/d^{-1}(\star(G_2))$ and $D(G_1)/d^{-1}(\star(G_1))$ induced by f^* and g^* are inverses of each other. $\blacksquare$

Lemma 8 *Let the graph G and the positive numbers k and Δ be given. If $dHD(G) \neq 0$ then there exist a finite set $A \subseteq V(G)$ and a number $\epsilon > 0$ with the following property. For any quasi-isomorphism f of distortion at most k to G from a graph G' of maximum degree at most Δ one has a function $w \in HD(G')$ with $|dw| = 1$ and $|dw \cdot \chi_B| > \epsilon$ for $B = f^{-1}(A)$.*

Proof: Choose a non-constant function $v \in HD(G)$. We fix $\epsilon > 0$ later and choose $A = C_{k+1}(A_0)$ with a finite set $A_0 \subseteq V(G)$ such that $|dv \cdot \chi_{V(G) \setminus A_0}| < \epsilon$.

By “constant” we mean a quantity depending on G , v , k and Δ but not on G' , f or ϵ .

We can take a quasi-inverse g of f of distortion bounded by a constant. By Lemma 7 we have the decomposition $f^*v = v' + z$ with some $v' \in HD(G')$ and $z \in d^{-1}(\star(G'))$. Similarly $g^*v' = v + t$ with some $t \in d^{-1}(\star(G))$. By Lemma 5 we have $0 < |dv| \leq |dg^*v'| \leq c|dv'|$ with some constant c . Thus $|dv'| \geq c_0 = |dv|/c > 0$. We use Lemma 5 for f^* to get $|dz| \leq |df^*v| \leq c'|dv| = c_1$ with some constants c' and c_1 . Now consider $B = f^{-1}(A) \subseteq V(G')$ and $C = (V(G') \setminus B) \cup \sigma(B)$. Notice that $\chi_C \geq 1 - \chi_B$ and $D = C_k(f(C))$ is disjoint from A_0 .

Consider the orthogonal decompositions $dv' = u_1 + u_2$ with $u_1 = dv' \cdot \chi_B$ and $u_2 = dv' \cdot (1 - \chi_B)$, and $dz = s_1 + s_2$ with $s_1 = dz \cdot \chi_B$ and $s_2 = dz \cdot (1 - \chi_B)$. By Lemma 5 we have $|u_2 + s_2| = |df^*v \cdot (1 - \chi_B)| \leq |df^*v \cdot \chi_C| \leq c_2|dv \cdot \chi_D| \leq c_2|dv \cdot \chi_{V(G) \setminus A_0}| < c_2\epsilon$ with a constant c_2 . We can write $0 = \langle dv', dz \rangle = \langle u_1, s_1 \rangle + \langle u_2, s_2 \rangle$. Here $|\langle u_1, s_1 \rangle| \leq |u_1| \cdot |s_1| \leq |u_1| \cdot |dz| \leq c_1|u_1|$ and $\langle u_2, s_2 \rangle = \langle u_2, u_2 + s_2 \rangle - |u_2|^2 \leq |u_2| \cdot |u_2 + s_2| - |u_2|^2 < -|u_2|(|u_2| - c_2\epsilon)$. We have $|u_2| \geq |dv'| - |u_1| \geq c_0 - |u_1|$ hence $c_1|u_1| \geq \langle u_1, s_1 \rangle = -\langle u_2, s_2 \rangle \geq |u_2|(|u_2| - c_2\epsilon) \geq (c_0 - |u_1|)(c_0 - c_2\epsilon - |u_1|)$ if $c_0 - c_2\epsilon - |u_1| > 0$.

Notice that we can choose a small enough ϵ depending on c_0 , c_1 , and c_2 such that $|u_1| \leq c_1\epsilon$ contradicts our last inequality. For this ϵ we have $|u_1| > c_1\epsilon$. We take $w = v'/|dv'| \in$

$HD(G')$ and notice that $|dw| = 1$ and $|dw \cdot \chi_B| = |u_1|/|dv'| > \epsilon$ as $|dv'| \leq |df^*v| \leq c_1$. The choice of ϵ (and thus of A) depends only on G , v , k and Δ . ■

Theorem 9 *The only harmonic Dirichlet functions of a quasi-transitive amenable graph are the constant functions.*

Proof: The proof is by contradiction. Let G be a quasi-transitive amenable graph with $dHD(G) \neq 0$. Let k be the bound on the distortion of the quasi-isomorphisms f_{xy} from G to G mapping $x \in V(G)$ to $y \in V(G)$. Choose the finite set $A \subseteq V(G)$ and the number $\epsilon > 0$ for G , k and the maximum degree Δ of G as claimed in Lemma 8. We fix a vertex $y \in A$ and use the statement of Lemma 8 to obtain a function $w_x \in HD(G)$ for each $x \in V(G)$ such that $|dw_x| = 1$ and $|dw_x \cdot \chi_{B_x}| > \epsilon$ for $B_x = f_{xy}^{-1}(A)$. Let $a = \max_{y' \in A} \delta(y, y')$. By the bound on the distortion of f_{xy} we have $\delta(x, x') < k(a + 1) = b$ for any $x \in V(G)$ and $x' \in B_x$.

Let (G_i) be a Følner sequence of finite subgraphs of G . Let $S_i = V(G_i) \setminus C_b(\sigma(G_i))$. Note that as b is constant and the degree of the vertices is limited, $|C_b(\sigma(G_i))|$ is proportional to $|\sigma(G_i)|$ thus $|S_i|/|V(G_i)|$ tends to 1 as i tends to infinity. For $x \in S_i$ we have $B_x \subseteq C_b(\{x\}) \subseteq V(G_i)$. Consider the projection P in $l_2(G)$ to $dHD(G)$ and let P_x the projection to the one dimensional subspace of $dHD(G)$ generated by dw_x . Recall that we identify an oriented edge $e \in \vec{E}(G)$ with the function in $l_2(G)$ mapping e to 1, $\bar{e}$ to -1 and everything else to zero. We have $\langle Pe, e \rangle \geq \langle P_x e, e \rangle = \langle dw_x, e \rangle^2 = (dw_x(e))^2$ for every oriented edge e and vertex x in G . We can write $\epsilon^2 < |dw_x \cdot \chi_{B_x}|^2 = 1/2 \sum_{e \in \vec{E}(G)} \chi_{B_x}(e) (dw_x(e))^2 \geq 1/2 \sum_{e \in \vec{E}(G)} \chi_{B_x}(e) \langle Pe, e \rangle$ for any $x \in V(G)$. By summation we get $2\epsilon^2 |S_i| \leq \sum_{e \in \vec{E}(G)} \langle Pe, e \rangle \sum_{x \in S_i} \chi_{B_x}(e)$ for any index i . Notice that $\sum_{x \in S_i} \chi_{B_x}(e)$ is zero for oriented edges e outside $\vec{E}(G_i)$, while it is bounded by a constant C for any $e \in \vec{E}(G)$, one can take C to be the maximum size of the b -neighborhood of a single vertex. Thus we have $2\epsilon^2 |S_i|/C \leq \sum_{e \in \vec{E}(G_i)} \langle Pe, e \rangle = |\vec{E}(G_i)| \dim_{G_i}(dHD(G))$. Consequently, $\dim_{G_i}(dHD(G)) \geq \epsilon^2/C \cdot |S_i|/|E(G_i)|$. By Corollary 4 the left hand side of this last inequality tends to zero as i tends to infinity, but as $|S_i|/|V(G_i)|$ tends to 1 and $|V(G_i)|$ is proportional to $E(G_i)$ the right hand side does not. The contradiction proves the theorem. ■

References

- [1] I. BENJAMINI, R. LYONS, Y. PERES, and O. SCHRAMM, Uniform spanning forests, preprint, 1998.
- [2] J. CHEEGER and M. GROMOV, L^2 -cohomology and group cohomology, *Topology* **25** (1986), 189–215.
- [3] G. ELEK, On the Cayley graph of an amenable group, *Acta Mathematica Hungarica* **74** (1997), no. 3, 229–234.
- [4] G. MEDOLLA and P. M. SOARDI, Extension of Foster’s averaging formula to infinite networks with moderate growth, *Math. Z.* **219** (1995), 171–185.

- [5] W. PASCHKE, An invariant for finitely presented CG -modules, *Math. Ann.* **301** (1995), no. 2, 325–337.
- [6] P. M. SOARDI, Potential theory of infinite networks, *Lecture notes in Mathematics* **1590** (1994).